

2018 Performance Measure Results Community Colleges

Building NUSSOURIS-Gulune UG degrees.®	Crowder College	East Central College	Jefferson College	Metropolitan Community College	Mineral Area College	Missouri State University-West Plains	Moberly Area Community College	North Central Missouri College	Ozarks Technical Community College	St. Charles Community College	St. Louis Community College	State Fair Community College	Three Rivers College
Three-Year Graduation and Tra	ansfer Rate												
Fall 2011-Fall 2013	37.6%	35.1%	35.6%	36.3%	39.8%	34.7%	39.3%	47.0%	40.8%	39.3%	25.9%	39.8%	32.1%
Fall 2012-Fall 2014	39.5%	36.6%	39.6%	38.6%	41.4%	32.8%	41.5%	50.1%	41.1%	39.1%	27.5%	43.4%	33.4%
Top third of peers	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%	44.8%
In top third of peers								\checkmark					
Improved	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Did not improve, not in top third of peers						✓				✓			
Percent of Attempted Courses	Successfully												
Fall 2013-Fall 2015	77.5%	74.9%	75.3%	70.5%	84.4%	71.2%	73.6%	83.0%	72.1%	74.2%	68.3%	77.9%	74.2%
Fall 2014-Fall 2016	77.4%	75.3%	76.0%	70.6%	85.3%	72.2%	74.8%	83.4%	71.4%	74.0%	69.5%	78.0%	75.6%
Top third of peers	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%	77.9%
In top third of peers					\checkmark			\checkmark				\checkmark	
Improved		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Did not improve, not in top third of peers	✓								✓	✓			
Pass Rates on Professional Lice	ensure Exams												
Fall 2015-Fall 2017	96.4%	97.4%	91.3%	90.0%	95.2%	93.4%	93.1%	96.1%	97.8%	92.4%	95.6%	94.9%	87.6%
Fall 2016-Fall 2018	99.2%	98.3%	91.8%	91.8%	95.1%	95.2%	93.6%	96.4%	97.2%	94.0%	95.6%	95.2%	86.8%
Benchmark	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%
Meets or exceeds benchmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	
Improved	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
Did not improve, not at benchmark													\checkmark
Non-Core Expenditures as a Pe	ercent of Tota	l Expenditur	es										
Fall 2013-Fall 2015	9.7%	24.2%	30.0%	24.1%	16.8%	32.6%	13.9%	44.1%	12.0%	16.7%	23.6%	26.2%	19.5%
Fall 2014-Fall 2016	10.7%	23.1%	32.8%	23.6%	15.7%	32.0%	13.8%	42.2%	11.7%	17.4%	24.5%	26.1%	19.2%
Top third of peers	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%
In top third of peers	11.570	17.570	17.570	17.570	17.570	17.570	¥7.570	17.570	17.576	17.570	17.570	17.570	17.570
Improved		\checkmark		\checkmark	✓ ✓	\checkmark	✓ ✓	\checkmark	✓ ✓			\checkmark	✓
Did not improve, not in top third of peers			✓								✓		
Tuition and Fees as a Percent of					_		_						
Fall 2013-Fall 2015	7.4%	6.1%	5.1%	5.0%	7.0%	7.5%	6.6%	7.1%	7.9%	4.6%	5.7%	7.0%	8.1%
Fall 2014-Fall 2016	7.3%	6.3%	5.2%	5.0%	7.1%	7.4%	6.8%	7.3%	8.1%	4.6%	5.8%	7.1%	8.6%
Median of peers	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%
Below national median	V	v	V	V	v	V	v	V		v	v	v	
Improved Did not improve, above national median	v					v			✓				✓
Graduate Outcomes													
Successful Outcomes – 2015-16 Grads	89.7%	94.2%	89.1%	89.9%	94.0%	97.0%	91.3%	94.0%	90.5%	95.0%	85.0%	92.2%	90.7%
Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads	88.6%	94.0%	83.3%	89.1%	94.6%	89.0%	92.0%	93.1%	90.6%	93.1%	86.1%	86.7%	87.4%
Benchmark	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%
At or above benchmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Improved					\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		
Did not improve, not at benchmark													
Community College Totals													
Measures Made	3/3 priority	3/3 priority	3/3 priority	3/3 priority	3/3 priority	2/3 priority	3/3 priority	3/3 priority	3/3 priority	2/3 priority	3/3 priority	3/3 priority	2/3 priority
	5/6 overall	6/6 overall	5/6 overall	6/6 overall	6/6 overall	5/6 overall	6/6 overall	6/6 overall	4/6 overall	4/6 overall	5/6 overall	6/6 overall	4/6 overall
	5/0 0001011	0,00vcraii	5,00001011	5, 5 6veran	0,00001011	5/5 0101 01	0,00001011	0,00vcran	1,00verdii	170 Overall	5,00001011	5, 5 Over dir	i o overan



2018 Performance Measure Results Public Universities and State Technical College

by degrees ®	Harris-Stowe State University	Lincoln University	Missouri State University	Missouri Southern State University	Missouri Western State University	Northwest Missouri State University	Southeast Missouri State University	University of Central Missouri	Truman State University	University of Missouri System
ompletions Per Full-Time Equ	ivalent Stud	ent								
FY 2014-FY 2016	18.2	25.8	32.6	35.0	30.6	36.6	29.7	42.9	33.6	38.9
FY 2015-FY 2017	18.2	24.0	33.0	35.8	31.0	37.7	29.8	50.5	33.4	40.4
Benchmark	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Meets or exceeds benchmark			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Improved	✓ (W)		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark
Did not improve, not at benchmark		✓								
ss Rates on Learning Assessr	nents or Pro	fessional Lice	ensure Exan	ns						
FY 2015-FY 2017	18.9%	54.3%	99.8%	96.4%	54.9%	73.6%	88.2%	68.8%	73.2%	94.8%
FY 2016-FY 2018	19.3%	53.9%	100.0%	94.3%	55.5%	74.5%	89.4%	68.5%	75.3%	94.4%
Benchmark	50.0%	50.0%	90.0%	90.0%	50.0%	60.0%	90.0%	60.0%	70.0%	90.0%
Meets or exceeds benchmark		\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
Improved	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	1		\checkmark	
Did not improve, not at benchmark										
reant of Total Europeditures	on Coro Min	ion								
rcent of Total Expenditures of			60.40/	57.00	c2 20/	62 5%	C1 00/	C 4 70/	60.0%	74.00/
FY 2013-FY 2015	49.5%	62.1%	68.4%	57.6%	63.2%	63.5%	61.8%	64.7%	69.0%	74.6%
FY 2014-FY 2016	48.3%	60.0%	68.3%	58.7%	64.2%	62.8%	61.9%	65.5%	68.9%	73.4%
Top third of peers	57.9%	57.0%	60.3%	63.0%	62.9%	59.5%	61.8%	62.4%	61.5%	71.0%
At or above top third		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Improved				\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		
Did not improve, not in top third of peers	✓									
ange in Salary Expenditures	Compared t	o Median Ho	usehold Inc	ome						
Change in salaries exp. per FTE,	-2.2%	-0.2%	-0.3%	-2.4%	6.6%	4.1%	4.1%	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%
FY14-16 to 15-17										
Change in Median Household Income (MHI)	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Salary expenditures did not increase	✓	✓	✓	✓	0.070	01070	0.070	01070	0.070	01070
Salary expenditures grew more slowly than	✓	✓ ✓	√ 	✓ ✓				✓	\checkmark	✓
MHI										
						4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
					✓	✓	✓			
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI					✓		~			
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI	ime Equivale	ent Student C	Compared to	o Median Ho	vusehold Incon		✓		_	
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI	ime Equivale \$4,579	ent Student C \$6,337	Compared to \$6,460.5	o Median Ho \$5,709	vusehold Incon		\$6,049	\$7,171	\$4,966	\$9,237
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T						าย	\$6,049 \$6,106	\$7,171 \$7,147	\$4,966 \$4,914	\$9,237 \$9,452
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017	\$4,579 \$4,619	\$6,337 \$6,544	\$6,460.5 \$6,542	\$5,709 \$5,719	\$6,679 \$6,737	ገ 은 \$5,643 \$5,853	\$6,106	\$7,147	\$4,914	\$9,452
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3%	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9%	1C \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7%	\$6,106 1.0%	\$7,147 -0.3%	\$4,914 -1.0%	\$9,452 2.3%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI	\$4,579 \$4,619	\$6,337 \$6,544	\$6,460.5 \$6,542	\$5,709 \$5,719	\$6,679 \$6,737	ገ 은 \$5,643 \$5,853	\$6,106	\$7,147	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5%	\$9,452
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5%	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5%	1C \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7%	\$6,106 1.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5%	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3%	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9%	1C \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7%	\$6,106 1.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5%	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5%	\$9,452 2.3%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5%	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5%	1C \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5%	\$6,106 1.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5%	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI aduate Outcomes	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5%	TC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5%	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI aduate Outcomes Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% ✓	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% ✓	PE \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ 77.5%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% ✓ 75.2% 76.3%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5% ✓	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓ 81.4% 83.1%	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5% ✓ 72.1% 84.9%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% • • • • 85.2% 76.4%	PC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓ 88.5% 83.3%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓ 74.6% 84.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 84.6% 85.8%	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ 77.5% 77.2%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5% ✓ 82.4% 86.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads Benchmark	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% ✓ ✓ 75.2% 76.3% 75.0%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5% ✓ 76.6% 80.6% 75.0%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓ 81.4% 83.1% 75.0%	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5% ✓ 72.1% 84.9% 75.0%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓ 88.5% 83.3% 75.0%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓ 74.6% 84.0% 75.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 84.6% 85.8% 75.0%	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 77.5% 77.2% 75.0%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5% ✓ 82.4% 86.5% 75.0%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads Benchmark At or above benchmark	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5% ✓	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓ 81.4% 83.1% 75.0% ✓	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5% 72.1% 84.9% 75.0%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% • • • • 85.2% 76.4%	PC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓ 88.5% 83.3%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓ 74.6% 84.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ Ø 84.6% 85.8% 75.0% ✓	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ 77.5% 77.2%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads Benchmark	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% ✓ ✓ 75.2% 76.3% 75.0%	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5% ✓ 76.6% 80.6% 75.0%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓ 81.4% 83.1% 75.0%	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5% ✓ 72.1% 84.9% 75.0%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓ 88.5% 83.3% 75.0%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓ 74.6% 84.0% 75.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 84.6% 85.8% 75.0%	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 77.5% 77.2% 75.0%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5% ✓ 82.4% 86.5% 75.0%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue Per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Aduate Outcomes Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads Benchmark At or above benchmark	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5% ✓ 76.6% 80.6% 75.0%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓ 81.4% 83.1% 75.0% ✓	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5% 72.1% 84.9% 75.0%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓ 88.5% 83.3% 75.0%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓ 74.6% 84.0% 75.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ Ø 84.6% 85.8% 75.0% ✓	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 77.5% 77.2% 75.0%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI t Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads Benchmark At or above benchmark Improved Did not improve, not at benchmark	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓ 81.4% 83.1% 75.0% ✓	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5% 72.1% 84.9% 75.0%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓ 88.5% 83.3% 75.0%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓ 74.6% 84.0% 75.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ Ø 84.6% 85.8% 75.0% ✓	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 77.5% 77.2% 75.0%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%
Salary expenditures increased and faster than MHI et Tuition Revenue per Full-T Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2014-FY 2016 Net Tuition Revenue/FTE, FY 2015-FY 2017 Change in Net Tuition Revenue/FTE Change in MHI Net tuition revenue decreased New tuition grew more slowly than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Net tuition increased and faster than MHI Successful Outcomes – 2016-17 Grads Successful Outcomes – 2017-18 Grads Benchmark At or above benchmark Improved	\$4,579 \$4,619 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$6,337 \$6,544 3.3% 3.5%	\$6,460.5 \$6,542 1.3% 3.5% ✓ 81.4% 83.1% 75.0% ✓	\$5,709 \$5,719 0.2% 3.5% 72.1% 84.9% 75.0%	\$6,679 \$6,737 0.9% 3.5% • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PC \$5,643 \$5,853 3.7% 3.5% ✓ 88.5% 83.3% 75.0%	\$6,106 1.0% 3.5% ✓ 74.6% 84.0% 75.0%	\$7,147 -0.3% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ Ø 84.6% 85.8% 75.0% ✓	\$4,914 -1.0% 3.5% ✓ ✓ ✓ 77.5% 77.2% 75.0%	\$9,452 2.3% 3.5%

State Technical College

mpletions Per FTE	
FY 2014-FY 2016	67.0
FY 2015-FY 2017	66.2
Benchmark	25.0
	\checkmark

aduation Rate	
Fall 2010-Fall 2012	60.6%
Fall 2011-Fall 2013	62.6%
Top Third of Peers	59.1%
	\checkmark
	\checkmark

ajor Field Assessment Pass Rate	
FY 2015-FY 2017	88.0%
FY 2016-FY 2018	88.1%
Benchmark	60.0%
	\checkmark
	\checkmark

re as a Percent of Total Expenditures				
FY 2013-FY 2015	70.3%			
FY 2014-FY 2016	70.6%			
Benchmark	61.9%			
At or above top third	\checkmark			
Improved	\checkmark			
Did not improve, not in top third of peers				

tion & Fees as a Percent of Median Fam	ily Income			
FY 2014-FY 2016	11.6%			
FY 2015-FY 2017	11.3%			
Median of peers				

w national median	
roved or maintained	\checkmark
not improve, above national median	

aduate Outcomes	
FY 2014-FY 2016	95.6%
FY 2015-FY 2017	96.4%
Benchmark	75.0%
	\checkmark
	\checkmark

3/3	priority
6/6	overall